

1992

**NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM**

**MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA**

**A STUDY OF THE LUTE METERING STRUCTURE**

Prepared By: James W. Foreman  
Academic Rank: Assistant Professor  
Institution and Department: Alabama A & M University  
Civil Engineering Department

**NASA/MSFC**

Office: Space Science and Applications  
Division: Program Development Group  
Branch: Payload and Orbital Systems

MSFC Colleague(s): Max Nein  
Robert Porter



Two Metering Structure configurations were investigated. The first case was the traditional style metering structure which is larger than the outside diameter of the primary mirror. The second case investigated was the center support concept in which the outside diameter of the structure is less than the inside diameter of the primary mirror. Beryllium was used as the baseline material for this study. Four other materials were considered as candidates for the metering structure. These materials are:

Graphite Epoxy

Aluminum

Titanium

Invar

The loading conditions used for this study were estimated to be:

Quasi Static:            6.0 G (all three directions)

Random Vibration:    30.0 G (applied 1 axis at a time)

Taking advantage of symmetry, it was necessary to apply the lateral loading to only one axis. These loads were applied to both concepts and to all material configurations. The loadings as described above were based on the best available information and is felt to be adequate for this study since it was consistently used for all configurations. A load factor 2.00 was applied to both quasi static and random vibration loads. The allowable stresses are conservatively based yield strength of the material, except for the struts which are controlled by elastic stability. The stresses determined from each individual loading direction were conservatively combined by the absolute sum method.

A frequency determination was made treating the secondary mirror and metering structure as a two degree -of-freedom system.

#### Conclusions and Recommendations:

The results of the study as summarized in Table I indicate that the more favorable materials using weight as the criterion are beryllium and graphite epoxy. Either of these materials give a stiff lightweight structure. Graphite epoxy appears to be more favorable because of its low coefficient of thermal expansion. It is recommended that a study be made to determine the advantages and disadvantages of these two materials. Also, further study is needed to determine if there are other candidate materials which might be favored over beryllium or graphite epoxy. Additionally, a more comprehensive dynamic analysis and a stability analysis is recommended.

**TABLE I**  
**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**  
**ACCEL/THERM LOADS\***

MATERIAL	WEIGHT (LBS)	FREQUENCY (HZ)	DISPLACEMENT		
			X	Z	ROT(Y)
BERYLLIUM	6.93	177	.0183	.0002	.0067
			.00087	.00014	.0015
GRAPHITE EPOXY	6.67	167	.0198	.0002	.0072
			-	-	-
ALUMINUM	26.52	68	.100	.001	.037
			.0021	.00035	.0036
TITANIUM	40.0	77	.0815	.0092	.0026
			.00172	.0029	.0030
INVAR	80.0	71	.091	.001	.036
			-	-	-

\*1 DEGREE K DIFFERENTIAL ON 1/3 OF STRUCTURE

